

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/083,456		02/26/2002	Sean D. Monahan	16.06	6485	
25032	7590	03/28/2006		EXAMINER		
MIRUS C			KETTER, JAMES S			
505 SOUTI	I ROSA R	D	•			
MADISON, WI 53719				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•			1636		

DATE MAILED: 03/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Appl	ication No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			83,456	MONAHAN ET	MONAHAN ET AL.				
			niner	Art Unit					
	<u>.</u>		es S. Ketter	1636					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communior Reply	ication appears o	n the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M misions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE O of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In unication. atutory period will apply will, by statute, cause the	F THIS COMMUI no event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) M ne application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	·								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on							
2a)□	This action is FINAL .	2b)☐ This action	is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition	for allowance ex	cept for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to t	he merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the a	pplication.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar	•	n consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-35 are subject to restriction	on and/or election	n requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.							
·			or b)☐ obiected t	o by the Examiner.					
, , _	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including								
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to		•	• • •	• •				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim t	or foreian priorit	v under 35 U.S.C	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f)					
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
- /	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have	been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority			Application No.					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of				al Stage				
	application from the Internation	•			01290				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	•		ot received.					
			·						
Attachmen	t(s)								
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interviev	v Summary (PTO-413)					
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P		Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date	TO 452)				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or I r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice o	f Informal Patent Application (P ⁻	1 U- 152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/083,456

Art Unit: 1636

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 1-18 and 27-35, drawn to a transfection process, classified in class 435, subclass 458.

II. Claims 19-26, drawn to complexes for delivery of a nucleic acid to a cell, classified in class 424, subclass 450.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of Groups II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product could be used to store the nucleic acid, i.e., stabilize it.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, and because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and

Application/Control Number: 10/083,456

Art Unit: 1636

specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined

Application/Control Number: 10/083,456

Art Unit: 1636

claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner with respect to the examination on the merits should be directed to James Ketter whose telephone number is (571) 272-0770. The Examiner normally can be reached on M-F (9:00-6:30), with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Remy Yucel, can be reached at (571) 272-0781.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Application/Control Number: 10/083,456 Page 5

Art Unit: 1636

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

Jsk

March 18, 2006

JAMES KETTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER